## **RESOLUTION NO. 2025-**

A RESOLUTION OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF TEMECULA ADOPTING THE MITIGATED NEGATIVE DECLARATION AND A MITIGATION MONITORING AND REPORTING PROGRAM IN CONNECTION THEREWITH FOR THE BEDFORD PROJECT, CONSISTING OF APPROXIMATELY 1.88 ACRES, GENERALLY LOCATED ON THE SOUTHWEST SIDE OF BEDFORD COURT APPROXIMATELY 160 FEET SOUTHWEST OF THE TEMECULA PARKWAY AND BEDFORD COURT INTERSECTION (APN 922-210-042)

THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF TEMECULA DOES HEREBY RESOLVE AS FOLLOWS:

Section 1. The City Council of the City of Temecula does hereby find, determine and declare that:

- A. On May 4, 2023, the applicant submitted Planning Application PA23-0197, a Development Plan for the construction of two structures totaling approximately 4,546 square feet, PA23-0198, a Conditional Use Permit to allow a car wash, PA23-0204, a Tentative Parcel Map to create two parcels from one existing parcel, and PA23-0280, a Zone Change/Planned Development Overlay. On September 17, 2024 the applicant submitted PA24-0348, a Conditional Use Permit to allow for a drive-thru. Taken together, the applications will permit the development and operation of a commercial center consisting of two structures that will house a carwash and coffee shop. These applications (collectively "Project") were filed in a manner in accord with the City of Temecula General Plan and Development Code.
- B. The "Project Site" is approximately 1.88 acres and generally located at the southern end of Bedford Court (APN: 922-210-042).
- C. The Project was processed, including but not limited to all public notices, in the time and manner prescribed by State and local law, including the California Environmental Quality Act, Public Resources Code 21000, et seq. and the California Environmental Quality Act Guidelines, 14 Cal. Code Regs 15000 et seq. (collectively referred to as "CEQA").
- D. Pursuant to CEQA, the City is the lead agency for the Project because it is the public agency with the authority and principal responsibility for approving the Project.
- E. The City contracted with De Novo Planning Group (De Novo) for the independent preparation of an Initial Study to analyze the potential environmental effects of the Project. Based on the information contained in the Initial Study, De Novo and City staff concluded that the Project could have a significant effect on the environment, but that mitigation measures could be implemented to reduce such impacts to a less than significant level. Based upon this determination, De Novo prepared, and City staff concurred in, a Draft Mitigated Negative Declaration ("Draft

1

MND") in accordance with CEQA Section 21080(c) and Section 15070 of the State CEQA Guidelines.

- F. The City circulated a Notice of Intent to Adopt the Draft MND, along with the Draft MND and its Appendices, to the public and other interested parties, for a 30-day comment period between January 14, 2025 through February 13, 2025. A Notice of Intent to Adopt the MND was also sent to adjacent property owners indicating a review period of January 14, 2025 through February 13, 2025. The City published a Notice of Intent for the Draft MND in The Press Enterprise a newspaper of general circulation within the City. Copies of the documents have been available for public review and inspection at the offices of the Department of Community Development, located at City Hall, 41000 Main Street, Temecula, Ca 92590, Chamber of Commerce, located at 26790 Ynez Court, Suite A, Temecula CA 92591, Ronald Roberts Public Library, located at 30600 Pauba Road, Temecula, CA 92592, and on the City of Temecula website.
- G. During the comment period, the City received four written comments on the Draft MND from various agencies and entities. A response to all of the comments made therein was prepared, submitted to the Planning Commission, and incorporated into the administrative record of the proceedings.
- H. The "Final Mitigated Negative Declaration" ("Final MND") consists of the Draft MND, all of its appendices and the Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program, the four comments received on the Draft MND, responses to those comments, and an Errata to address minor corrections and clarifications that do not change any of the analysis or conclusion in the MND. The Final MND was made available to the public and to all commenting agencies on April 4, 2025, which is at least 10 days prior to adoption of the Final MND, in compliance with Public Resources Code Section 21092.5(a).
- I. On April 16, 2025, the Planning Commission held a duly noticed public hearing to consider the Final MND and the Project, at which time the Planning Commission heard and considered information presented by City staff on the Project and its environmental review. In addition, interested persons had an opportunity to and did testify regarding this matter.
- J. Public Resources Code Section 21081.6 requires the City to prepare and adopt a Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program for any project for which mitigation measures have been imposed to assure compliance with the adopted mitigation measures. The Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program is attached hereto as Exhibit "A", and is incorporated herein by reference.
- K. Following consideration of the entire record of information received at the public hearing and due consideration of the proposed Project, the Planning Commission adopted Resolution No. 2025-10 recommending that the City Council adopt the Final MND and a Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program for the Project. The Planning Commission also adopted Resolution Nos. 2025-11, 2025-12, 2025-13, 2025-14, and 2025-15, thereby recommending that the City Council take various actions, including adoption of a Zone Change/Planned Development Overlay, Tentative Parcel Map, a Development Plan, and two Conditional Use Permits.

- L. Prior to taking action at the noticed City Council public hearing held on May 13, 2025, the City Council has heard, been presented with, reviewed, and considered the information and data in the administrative record, as well as oral and written testimony presented to it during meetings and hearings. No comments or any additional information submitted to the City have produced any substantial new information to support a fair argument requiring additional environmental review or re-circulation of the Final MND under CEQA because no new significant environmental impacts were identified, nor was any substantial increase in the severity of any previously disclosed environmental impacts identified.
- Section 2. Substantive Findings. The City Council of the City of Temecula, California does hereby find, determine and declare that:
- A. All of the above recitals are true and correct, and are hereby incorporated into this section as though set forth in full.
- B. Agencies and interested members of the public have been afforded ample notice and opportunity to comment on the Final MND and on the Project. The Project has been environmentally reviewed pursuant to the provisions of CEQA and the State CEQA Guidelines.
- C. The City Council has independently considered the administrative record before it, which is hereby incorporated by reference and which includes the Final MND and all documents therein, the Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program, staff reports and presentations, and all oral and written testimony.
- D. The City Council has reviewed the Final MND and all comments received regarding the Final MND prior to and at the May 13, 2025 public hearing, and based on the whole record before it finds that: (1) the Final MND was prepared in compliance with CEQA; (2) there is no substantial evidence that the Project will have a significant effect on the environment following imposition of the mitigation that has been proposed and is included in the Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program attached hereto as Exhibit "A" and incorporated herein by this reference; and (3) the Final MND reflects the independent judgment and analysis of the City Council.
- E. Based on the findings set forth in the Resolution, the City Council hereby adopts the Final MND and the Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program attached hereto as Exhibit "A" and incorporated herein by this reference.
- F. The City Council hereby directs staff to file a Notice of Determination as set forth in Public Resources Code section 21152(a).

<b>PASSED, APPROVED, AND ADO</b> this 13 <sup>th</sup> day of May, 2025.	<b>PTED</b> by the City Council of the City of Temecula
	Brenden Kalfus, Mayor
ATTEST:	
Randi Johl, City Clerk	
[SEAL]	

STATE OF CALIF COUNTY OF RIV CITY OF TEMEC	ERSIDE	) ) ss )	
Resolution No. 20	25- was	duly and regularly	 rtify that the foregoing Council of the City of collowing vote:
AYES:	COUNCIL	MEMBERS:	
NOES:	COUNCIL	MEMBERS:	
ABSTAIN:	COUNCIL	MEMBERS:	
ABSENT:	COUNCIL	MEMBERS:	
		<del>-</del>	Randi Johl, City Clerk

## MITIGATION MONITORING AND REPORTING PROGRAM

The California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) requires that when a public agency completes an environmental document which includes measures to mitigate or avoid significant environmental effects, the public agency must adopt a reporting or monitoring program. This requirement ensures that environmental impacts found to be significant will be mitigated. The reporting or monitoring program must be designed to ensure compliance during project implementation (Public Resources Code Section 21081.6). Specifically, Public Resources Code Section 21081.6 states:

- (a) When making findings required by paragraph (1) of subdivision (a) of Section 21081 or when adopting a mitigated negative declaration pursuant to paragraph (2) of subdivision (c) of Section 21080, the following requirements shall apply:
  - (1) The public agency shall adopt a reporting or monitoring program for the changes made to the project or conditions of project approval, adopted in order to mitigate or avoid significant effects on the environment. The reporting or monitoring program shall be designed to ensure compliance during project implementation. For those changes which have been required or incorporated into the project at the request of a responsible agency or a public agency having jurisdiction by law over natural resources affected by the project, that agency shall, if so requested by the lead or responsible agency, prepare and submit a proposed reporting or monitoring program.
  - (2) The lead agency shall specify the location and custodian of the documents or other material which constitute the record of proceedings upon which its decision is based.

This Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program (MMRP) has been developed to provide the mechanism by which to monitor mitigation measures outlined in the Bedford Court Coffee Shop and Car Wash Project Initial Study/Mitigated Negative Declaration (IS/MND). The Bedford Court Coffee Shop and Car Wash Project MMRP has been prepared in conformance with Public Resources Code Section 21081.6 and City of Temecula (City) monitoring requirements.

State CEQA Guidelines Section 15097 provides clarification of mitigation monitoring and reporting requirements and guidance to local lead agencies on implementing strategies. The reporting or monitoring program must be designed to ensure compliance during project implementation. The City of Temecula is the Lead Agency for the Bedford Court Coffee Shop and Car Wash Project and is therefore responsible for ensuring MMRP implementation. This MMRP has been drafted to meet Public Resources Code Section 21081.6 requirements as a fully enforceable monitoring program.

The MMRP Checklist is intended to provide verification that all applicable mitigation measures relative to significant environmental impacts are monitored and reported. Monitoring will include: 1) verification that each mitigation measure has been implemented; 2) recordation of the actions taken to implement each mitigation; and 3) retention of records in the Bedford Court Coffee Shop and Car Wash Project file.

This MMRP delineates responsibilities for monitoring the Project, but also allows the City flexibility and discretion in determining how best to monitor implementation. Monitoring procedures will vary according to the type of mitigation measure. Adequate monitoring consists of demonstrating that monitoring procedures took place and that mitigation measures were implemented. This includes the review of all monitoring reports, enforcement actions, and document disposition, unless otherwise noted in the MMRP Checklist. If an adopted mitigation measure is not being properly implemented, the designated monitoring personnel shall require corrective actions to ensure adequate implementation.

The numbering system in the following table corresponds with the IS/MND's numbering system. The MMRP table "Verification" column will be used by the parties responsible for documenting when the mitigation measure has been completed. The City of Temecula will complete ongoing documentation and mitigation compliance monitoring. The completed MMRP and supplemental documents will be kept on file at the City of Temecula Community Development Department.

## Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program Checklist

	Implementation	Monitoring/	Responsible		Verifica	tion
Mitigation Measures	Timing	Reporting Methods	for Approval/ Monitoring	Initials	Date	Remarks
BIOLOGICAL RESOURCES						
Mitigation Measures						
BIO-1: To avoid or minimize impacts on burrowing owl	Prior to the	Pre-	Community			
populations, a pre-construction survey for burrowing owl	issuance of	Construction	Development			
shall be completed within the Project site within 30 days	grading permit	Burrowing Owl	Department			
prior to ground disturbance, in accordance with the	or any ground	Survey/ Prior	Director, or			
Western Riverside County Multiple Species Habitat Conservation Plan (MSHCP). If the results of the survey	disturbing activity	to grading or	designee			
indicate that no burrowing owls are present on site, then	activity	ground disturbing				
construction activities shall be allowed to commence,		activities/ Prior				
and no avoidance or minimization measures would be		to issuance of				
required. If burrowing owl is observed during the pre-		any grading				
construction survey, the Project proponent shall		permits				
immediately inform the California Department of Fish		permits				
and Wildlife (CDFW) and the Western Riverside County						
Regional Conservation Association (RCA). A Burrowing						
Owl Protection and Relocation Plan (plan) shall be						
prepared by a qualified biologist, which must be sent for						
approval by RCA prior to initiating ground disturbance.						
The plan shall detail avoidance measures that shall be						
implemented during construction and passive or active						
relocation methodology. Relocation shall only occur						
outside of the nesting season (September 1 through						
January 31). The RCA may require translocation sites to						
be created within the MSHCP Conservation Area for the						
establishment of new colonies. If required, the						
translocation sites must take into consideration						
unoccupied habitat areas, presence of burrowing						
mammals, existing colonies, and effects to other MSHCP						
Covered Species in order to successfully create suitable						
habitat for burrowing owl. The translocation sites must						
be developed in consultation with RCA. If required,						

		Implementation	Monitoring/	Responsible		Verifica	tion
	Mitigation Measures	Timing	Reporting Methods	for Approval/ Monitoring	Initials	Date	Remarks
	translocation sites would also be described in the agency-approved plan.						
BIO-2:	To the extent possible, construction activities (i.e.,	Prior to the	Pre-	Community			
	earthwork, clearing, and grubbing) shall occur outside of	issuance of	Construction	Development			
	the general bird nesting season for migratory birds	grading permit	Nesting Bird	Department			
	(February 1 to August 31). If construction activities (i.e.,	or any	Survey/ Prior	Director, or			
	earthwork, clearing, and grubbing) occur during the	construction	to construction	designee			
	general bird nesting season for migratory birds (February	activity	or grading				
	1 to August 31), a qualified biologist shall be retained to		activities/ Prior				
	perform a pre-construction survey of potential nesting		to issuance of				
	habitat to confirm the absence of active nests belonging		any grading				
	to migratory birds and raptors afforded protection under		permits				
	the Migratory Bird Treaty Act and California Fish and						
	Game Code. The pre-construction survey shall be						
	performed no more than three days prior to the						
	commencement of construction activities. The results of						
	the pre-construction survey shall be documented by a						
	qualified biologist.						
	If the qualified biologist determines that no active						
	migratory bird or raptor nests occur, the biologist shall						
	document a negative survey and construction activities						
	shall be allowed to proceed without any further						
	requirements. If the qualified biologist determines that						
	an active migratory bird or raptor nest is present, the						
	biologist shall establish a no-disturbance buffer. A						
	biological monitor shall be present to delineate the						
	boundaries of the buffer area and to monitor the active						
	nest to ensure that nesting behavior is not adversely						
	affected by the construction activity. No impacts within						
	the no-disturbance buffer shall occur until the young						
	have fledged the nest, and the nest is confirmed to no						
	longer be active, or as determined by the qualified						
	biologist. The biological monitor may modify the buffer						
	as applicable for the specific bird species and type of						

		Implementation	Monitoring/	Responsible		Verifica	tion
	Mitigation Measures	Timing	Reporting Methods	for Approval/ Monitoring	Initials	Date	Remarks
	ork or propose other recommendations to avoid direct impacts to nesting birds.						
Mu 6.1 Avo the Pric Ap the lan MS	accordance with the Western Riverside County ultispecies Habitat Conservation Plan (MSHCP) Section 1.4, no species listed in Table 6-2, Plants that Should Be roided Adjacent to the MSHCP Conservation Area, of the MSHCP shall be used in the Project landscape plans. For to issuance of construction permits, the Project replicant shall provide landscape plans demonstrating to the City of Temecula Community Development that all andscaping complies with the Western Riverside County SHCP Section 6.1.4 relative to the use of plants.	Prior to the issuance of building permit or any construction activity	Plan review/ Prior to grading or construction activities/ Prior to issuance of any grading permits	Community Development Department Director, or designee			
Mitigation	Measures						
or cor and dis Courself Fur Sec fro and Courself Fur	numan remains are encountered, the Project Applicant contractor would be required to halt all work and ntact the Riverside County Coroner. California Health d Safety Code Section 7050.5, states that no further sturbance shall occur until the Riverside County roner has made the necessary findings as to origin. In the result of California Public Resources Code ction 5097.98(b) remains shall be left in place and free of disturbance until a final decision as to the treatment of disposition has been made. If the Riverside County roner determines the remains to be Native American, as Native American Heritage Commission shall be intacted within 24 hours. The Native American Heritage remains shall then immediately identify the "most ely descendant(s)" of receiving notification of the scovery. The most likely descendant(s) shall then make commendations within 48 hours and engage in insultations concerning the treatment of the remains as ovided in Public Resources Code 5097.98 and the eatment Agreement described in these conditions.	During ground- disturbing activities	Notification of Riverside County Coroner/ Notification of Native American Heritage Commission if identified remains are Native American in origin	Community Development Department Director, or designee			

	Implementation	Monitoring/	Responsible	Verification		tion
Mitigation Measures	Timing	Reporting Methods	for Approval/ Monitoring	Initials	Date	Remarks
Refer to Mitigation Measures TCR-1 through TCR-8.						
GEOLOGY AND SOILS						
Mitigation Measures						
GEO-1: If fossils or fossil-bearing deposits are encountered during ground-disturbing activities, work within a 25-foot radius of the find shall halt, the Temecula Community Development Department shall be notified, and a professional vertebrate paleontologist (as defined by the Society for Vertebrate Paleontology) shall be contacted immediately to evaluate the find. The paleontologist shall have the authority to stop or divert construction, as necessary. Documentation and treatment of the discovery shall occur in accordance with Society of Vertebrate Paleontology standards. The significance of the find shall be evaluated pursuant to the State CEQA Guidelines. If the discovery proves to be significant, before construction activities resume at the location of the find, additional work such as data recovery excavation may be warranted, as deemed necessary by the paleontologist.	During ground- disturbing activities	Assessment of resources by a professional vertebrate paleontologist/ If significant under CEQA, verify additional work, such as data recovery excavation, has been implemented	Community Development Department Director, or designee			
TRIBAL CULTURAL RESOURCES				l		
Mitigation Measures						
TCR-1: Prior to the issuance of a grading permit, the Developer shall retain a professional archaeologist to conduct monitoring of all mass grading and trenching activities. The Project Archaeologist shall have the authority to temporarily redirect earthmoving activities in the event that suspected archaeological resources are unearthed during Project construction. The Project archeologist and the Consulting Tribes(s) shall attend the pre-grading meeting with the City, the construction manager and any contractors and will conduct a mandatory Cultural Resources Worker Sensitivity Training to those in attendance. The Training will include a brief review of the	Prior to the issuance of grading permit or any ground disturbing activity	Project Archaeologist monitoring/ Cultural Resources Worker Sensitivity Training	Community Development Department Director, or designee			

		Implementation	Monitoring/	Responsible		Verifica	tion
	Mitigation Measures	Timing	Reporting Methods	for Approval/ Monitoring	Initials	Date	Remarks
	cultural sensitivity of the Project and the surrounding area; what resources could potentially be identified during earthmoving activities; the requirements of the monitoring program; the protocols that apply in the event inadvertent discoveries of cultural resources are identified, including who to contact and appropriate avoidance measures until the find(s) can be properly evaluated; and any other appropriate protocols. All new construction personnel that will conduct earthwork or grading activities that begin work on the Project following the initial Training must take the Cultural Sensitivity Training prior to beginning work and the Project archaeologist and Consulting Tribe(s) shall make themselves available to provide the training on an asneeded basis.						
TCR-2:	Prior to the issuance of a grading permit, the Developer shall secure agreements with the Pechanga Band of Indians for tribal monitoring. The Developer shall provide the City and the Pechanga Tribe a minimum of 30 days advance notice of all mass grading and trenching activities. The Native American Tribal Representatives shall have the authority to temporarily halt and redirect earth moving activities in the affected area in the event that suspected archaeological resources are unearthed.	Prior to the issuance of grading permit or any ground disturbing activity	Cultural Resources Management Plan and Monitoring Agreements	Community Development Department Director, or designee			
TCR-3:	Prior to the issuance of the grading permit, a Cultural Resource Monitoring Plan (CRMP) is to be developed and approved. The Project Archaeologist, in consultation with the Consulting Tribe(s), the contractor, and the City, shall develop a CRMP in consultation pursuant to the definition in Assembly Bill (AB) 52 to address the details, timing and responsibility of all archaeological and cultural activities that will occur on the Project site. A consulting Tribe is defined as a Tribe that initiated the AB 52 tribal consultation process for the Project, has not opted out of	Prior to the issuance of grading permit or any ground disturbing activity	Cultural Resources Management Plan and Monitoring Agreements	Community Development Department Director, or designee			

		Implementation	Monitoring/	Responsible		Verifica	tion
	Mitigation Measures	Timing	Reporting Methods	for Approval/ Monitoring	Initials	Date	Remarks
CO Pu De	ne AB52 consultation process, and has completed AB 52 consultation with the City as provided for in California ablic Resources Code Section 21080.3.2(b)(1) of AB 52. etails in the Plan shall include:  Project description and location;  Project grading and development scheduling;  Roles and responsibilities of individuals on the Project;  The pre-grading meeting and Cultural Resources Worker Sensitivity Training details;  The protocols and stipulations that the contractor, City, Consulting Tribe(s) and Project archaeologist will follow in the event of inadvertent cultural resources discoveries, including any newly discovered cultural resource deposits that shall be subject to a cultural resource's evaluation;  The type of recordation needed for inadvertent finds and the stipulations of recordation of sacred items; and  Contact information of relevant individuals for the Project.						
th re ac Ar co fo Ar	ne City shall verify that the following note is included on the Grading Plan: "If any suspected archaeological esources are discovered during ground-disturbing civities and the Project Archaeologist or Native merican Tribal Representatives are not present, the construction supervisor is obligated to halt work in a 100-pot radius around the find and call the Project richaeologist and the Tribal Representatives to the site of assess the significance of the find."	Prior to the issuance of grading permit or any ground disturbing activity	Grading Plan/ Prior to grading or ground disturbing activities/ Prior to issuance of any grading permits	Community Development Department Director, or designee			
re	during ground disturbance activities, unique cultural sources are discovered that were not assessed by the rchaeological report(s) and/or environmental	During ground- disturbing activities	Cultural Resources Management	Community Development Department			

	Implementation	Monitoring/	Responsible		Verifica	tion
Mitigation Measures	Timing	Reporting Methods	for Approval/ Monitoring	Initials	Date	Remarks
assessment conducted prior to Project approval, the		Plan and	Director, or			
following procedures shall be followed. Unique cultural		Monitoring	designee			
resources are defined, for this condition only, as being		Agreements				
multiple artifacts in close association with each other, but						
may include fewer artifacts if the area of the find is						
determined to be of significance due to its sacred or						
cultural importance as determined in consultation with						
the Native American Tribe(s). Tribal cultural resources						
are excluded from the definition of unique cultural						
resources as those resources are defined by the tribal						
values ascribed to them by their affiliated communities.						
Treatment of tribal cultural resources inadvertently						
discovered during the Project's ground-disturbing						
activities shall be subject to the consultation process						
required by State law and AB 52.						
<ul> <li>All ground disturbance activities within 100 feet of</li> </ul>						
the discovered cultural resources shall be halted						
until a meeting is convened between the Project						
Applicant, the Project Archaeologist, the Tribal						
Representative(s), and the City to discuss the						
significance of the find.						
<ul> <li>At the meeting, the significance of the discoveries</li> </ul>						
shall be discussed and after consultation with the						
Tribal Representative(s) and the Project						
Archaeologist, a decision shall be made, with the						
concurrence of the City, as to the appropriate						
mitigation (documentation, recovery, avoidance,						
etc.) for the cultural resources.						
• Further ground disturbance, including but not						
limited to grading, trenching etc., shall not resume						
within the area of the discovery until an agreement						
has been reached by all parties as to the appropriate						
mitigation. Work shall be allowed to continue						

	Implementation	Monitoring/	Responsible		Verifica	tion
Mitigation Measures	Timing	Reporting Methods	for Approval/ Monitoring	Initials	Date	Remarks
law, the decision of the City shall be appealable to the City. Evidence of compliance with this mitigation measure, if a significant archaeological resource found, shall be provided to City of Temecula upon the completion of a treatment plan and final repondetailing the significance and treatment finding.	n s n t					
<ul> <li>TCR-6: In the event that Native American cultural resources and discovered during the course of grading (inadverted discoveries), the following procedures shall be carried out for final disposition of the discoveries: a) One or more of the following treatments, in order of preference, shall be employed with the tribes. Evidence of such shall be provided to the City of Temecula: <ul> <li>Preservation-In-Place of the cultural resources, feasible. Preservation in place means avoiding the resources, leaving them in the place where the were found with no development affecting the integrity of the resources.</li> <li>Reburial of the resources on the Project propert. The measures for reburial shall include, at least, the following: Measures and provisions to protect the future reburial area from any future impacts perpetuity. Reburial shall not occur until all legal required cataloging and basic recordation have been completed, with an exception that sacred item burial goods, and Native American human remain are excluded. Any reburial process shall be cultural appropriate. Listing of contents and location of the reburial shall be included in the confidential Phase of the report. The Phase IV Report shall be filed with the City under a confidential cover and not subject to Public Records Request.</li> <li>If preservation in place or reburial is not feasib then the resources shall be curated in a cultural</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	disturbing activities e III e offee y e e on y n s s y e v e o o e o o e	Cultural Resources Management Plan and Monitoring Agreements	Community Development Department Director, or designee			

	Implementation	Monitoring/	Responsible		Verifica	tion
Mitigation Measures	Timing	Reporting Methods	for Approval/ Monitoring	Initials	Date	Remarks
appropriate manner at a Riverside County curation facility that meets State Resources Department Office of Historic Preservation Guidelines for the Curation of Archaeological Resources ensuring access and use pursuant to the Guidelines. The collection and associated records shall be transferred, including title, and are to be accompanied by payment of the fees necessary for permanent curation. Evidence of curation in the form of a letter from the curation facility stating that subject archaeological materials have been received and that all fees have been paid, shall be provided by the landowner to the City. There shall be no destructive or invasive testing on sacred items, burial goods, and Native American human remains. Results concerning finds of any inadvertent discoveries shall be included in the Phase IV monitoring report. Evidence of compliance with this mitigation measure, if a significant archaeological resource is found, shall be provided to City of Temecula upon the completion of a treatment plan and final report detailing the significance and treatment finding.						
TCR-7: It is understood by all parties that unless otherwise required by law, the site of any reburial of Native American human remains or associated grave goods shall not be disclosed and shall not be governed by public disclosure requirements of the California Public Records Act. The Coroner, pursuant to the specific exemption set forth in California Government Code 7927.000, parties, and Lead Agencies, will be asked to withhold public disclosure information related to such reburial, pursuant to the specific exemption set forth in California Government Code 7927.000	During and after ground- disturbing activities	Cultural Resources Management Plan and Monitoring Agreements	Community Development Department Director, or designee			

		Implementation	Monitoring/	Responsible	Verification		
Mitigation Measures		Implementation Timing	Reporting Methods	for Approval/ Monitoring	Initials	Date	Remarks
TCR-8:	Prior to final inspection, the Project Archeologist is to	Prior to final	Cultural	Community			
	submit two (2) copies of the Phase IV Cultural Resources	inspection	Resources	Development			
	Monitoring Report that complies with the Planning		Management	Department			
	Department's requirements for such reports. The Phase		Plan and	Director, or			
	IV report shall include evidence of the required		Monitoring	designee			
	cultural/historical sensitivity training for the construction		Agreements				
	staff held during the pre-grade meeting. The City shall						
	review the reports to determine adequate mitigation						
	compliance. Provided the reports are adequate, the City						
	shall clear this condition. Once the report(s) are						
	determined to be adequate, two (2) copies shall be						
	submitted to the Eastern Information Center (EIC) at the						
	University of California Riverside (UCR) and one (1) copy						
	shall be submitted to the Pechanga Cultural Resources						
	Department.						
Refer to Mitigation Measure CUL-1							