# STAFF REPORT – PLANNING CITY OF TEMECULA PLANNING COMMISSION

**DATE OF MEETING:** May 21, 2025

**TO:** Planning Commission Chairperson and members of the Planning

Commission

**FROM:** Matt Peters, Director of Community Development

**PREPARED BY:** Scott Cooper, Case Planner

**PROJECT** Planning Application No. PA25-0016, a Third Amendment to the

**SUMMARY:** Development Agreement by and between the City of Temecula and

Lennar Homes, Inc., a California Corporation and Winchester Hills I LLC, a California Limited Liability Company to rescind the First Amendment to the Development Agreement and reinstate the original term of the Development Agreement for the Winchester Property located within portions of Planning Area 12 of the

Harveston Specific Plan.

**RECOMMENDATION:** Adopt the proposed Planning Commission Resolution

recommending the City Council approve the Third Amendment to

the Development Agreement

**CEQA:** Exempt from further environmental review per Section 15061(b)(3)

of the CEQA Guidelines

### PROJECT DATA SUMMARY

Name of Applicant: Winchester Hills I LLC a California Limited Liability Company

### **BACKGROUND SUMMARY**

On August 28, 2001 the City Council of the City of Temecula approved an agreement entitled "Development Agreement By And Between The City Of Temecula And Lennar Homes, Inc., A California Corporation And Winchester Hills I LLC, A California Limited Liability Company" by the adoption of Ordinance No. 01-08 (the "Development Agreement"). The Development Agreement was recorded on January 16, 2002 as Document No. 2002-026470 in the Official Records of the County of Riverside.

The City Council of the City of Temecula approved that certain agreement entitled "First Amendment to Development Agreement By And Between The City Of Temecula And Lennar Homes, Inc., A California Corporation And Winchester Hills I LLC, A California Limited Liability Company" by the adoption of Ordinance No. 07-14 ("First Amendment"). The First Amendment was recorded on October 2, 2015 as Document No. 2015-0437479 in the Official

Records of the County of Riverside. The First Amendment extended the term of the Development Agreement.

The City Council of the City of Temecula approved that certain agreement entitled "Second Amendment to Development Agreement By And Between The City Of Temecula And Lennar Homes, Inc., A California Corporation And Winchester Hills I LLC, A California Limited Liability Company" by the adoption of Ordinance No. 2022-14 ("Second Amendment"). The Second Amendment was recorded on January 11, 2023 as Document No. 2023-0007919 in the Official Records of the County of Riverside. The Second Amendment rescinded the First Amendment to the Development Agreement and reinstated the original term of the Development Agreement for the Winchester Property located within portions of Planning Area 12 of the Harveston Specific Plan.

On January 7, 2025, the applicant, Winchester Hills I LLC, a California Limited Liability Company, submitted a proposed Third Amendment to the Development Agreement to rescind the First Amendment to the Development Agreement and reinstate the original term of the Development Agreement for the Winchester Property located within portions of Planning Area 12 of the Harveston Specific Plan. This property was previously excluded from the Second Amendment to the Development Agreement. Once the property was sold the current owner has agreed to the terms of the Third Amendment.

### **ANALYSIS**

The property which is subject to the Development Agreement and the First Amendment is comprised of two components. The first is the Lennar Property which has been developed consistent with the Development Agreement. The second is the former Winchester Hills I LLC property which is located within portions of Planning Area 12 of the Harveston Specific Plan and most recently had the Residential Overlay approved on the property. Since the time of the First Amendment, Winchester Hills I LLC has since sold the property to CRP/AHV Prado Owner, LLC who in turn sold the property to Meritage Homes of California, Inc., who is the signee of the Third Amendment. The Third Amendment is identical to the Second Amendment but with a different developer signing the amendment.

The applicant has requested to repeal the First Amendment which extended the term of the Development Agreement and reinstate the original term of the Development Agreement for the Winchester Hills I LLC property. The Third Amendment rescinds the extension of the term of the Development Agreement, but does not make any other revisions to the Development Agreement with respect to the development of property.

The original terms of the of the Development Agreement excluded or exempted the property owner/developer from paying Transportation Uniform Mitigation Fee (TUMF) and Multiple Species Habitation Conservation Plan (MSHCP) fees. Once the original Development Agreement was amended, WRCOG took the position that the property owner/developer was no longer exempt from payment of TUMF fees. RCA took a similar position with resepct to MSHCP fees. The Third Amendment reinstates the original term of the Development Agreement and allows the property owner/developer to seek concurrence from WRCOG and RCA that the property owner/developer is exempt from the payment of TUMF and MSHCP fees. The property owner/developer will be responsible for payment of all other development impact and Quimby fees.

## LEGAL NOTICING REQUIREMENTS

The notice of the public hearing was published in the *Press Enterprise* on May 9, 2025 and mailed to the property owners within 600-foot radius.

### **ENVIRONMENTAL DETERMINATION**

The proposed Ordinance, which approves a Third Amendment to the Development Agreement, is exempt from CEQA review pursuant to CEQA Guidelines Section 15061(b)(3) because it can be seen with certainty that there is no possibility that the adoption of the proposed Ordinance may have a significant effect on the environment. The adoption of the proposed Ordinance will repeal the First Amendment which extended the term of the Development Agreement. As the term of the Development Agreement is being shortened by the adoption of the Ordinance, this is covered by the common sense exemption under CEQA.

#### **ATTACHMENTS**

- 1. Aerial Map
- 2. PC Resolution
- 3. Exhibit A Draft City Council Ordinance
- 4. Exhibit A Third Amendment to the Development Agreement
- 5. Notice of Public Hearing